CHACOLAI MULLIANI, PALME S. S. PICETTY.

April 16, 1937.

Afternoon Translation.

when the matter was referred to the Municipal Police for investigation by the Shanghai First Special District Court. Sung Sac-ching, as well as his wife Sung Chu-sz (3% % K). were arrested and as a result of proceedings, they were found guilty of the charge. Consequently, Sung Sao-ching was sentenced to five years! imprisonment, in addition to a fine of \$1,000 for offences of successive extertion and was deprived of his civil rights for a period of 10 years, while his wife was sentenced to 1 year's imprisonment for giving false She was acquitted on the charges of contracting management of lawsuits of another and extertion.

As before stated, this case was initiated a private prosecution brought against Sung by his accomplice Kiang Chac-chow. However, as the facts outlined in the prosecution indicated a criminal charge, the Court, after its receipt of this prosecution, referred the matter to the Central Police Station for investigation. As a result of enquiries conducted by Asst. Supt. Loh Lien-kwe and other police officers, the following cases which were managed by Sung Sac-ching and his accomplice Kisng Chao-chow, who is now at large, since the winter of 1931 up to March last year, were brought to light(1) Extortion of \$40,000 from Wu Teng-ying (/) . . . Sung only gave \$12,500 to Wu's sister, Sung Wu-sz (/ /) .

and the rest he misappropriated. This aroused the dissatisfaction of Sung Wu-sz who afterwards wrote a

remainder was taken by Sung.

(5) Extortion of \$20,000 from Hsu Mou-chong (12 22).
\$3,000 only was given to Pan Chao-sz (19 12 22), the mother of Pan Sih-yen (29 12).

(6) Extortion of \$47,000 from Chiang Yang-az (4) 1/2 1/4. According to the lengthy judgment handed down by Judge Chien Hung-nieh (4) 71 1), Chief of the Criminal Division of the Shanghai First Special District Court, although some of these crimes were committed by the accused prior to the promulgation of the new Criminal Code, they were, however, punishable for extortion following their contraction of management of lawsuits of another according to the old Criminal Code.

The accused were, therefore, sentenced as above mentioned in accordance with Articles 28, 56, 346 (Section 1), 157 (Section 2), 55, 37 (Section 2), 42 (Sections 2 and 3), 2 (First Half of Section 1), and 168 of the Criminal Code and Articles 291 (First Half) and 293 (Section 1) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.